

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/184,186	11/02/1998	ROBERT MARC CLEMENT	2170.00013	3992	
7:	590 05/24/2002				
DANIEL BLISS BLISS MCGLYNN 2075 W BIG BEAVER ROAD SUITE 600			EXAMINER		
			ELVE, MARIA ALEXANDRA		
TROY, MI 48084			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1725	18	
			DATE MAILED: 05/24/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Laurication No.	Apr

Application No. 09/184,186 Applicant(s)

1725



A dan Summary	Examiner Elve	1725	
Office Action Summary		nondence addre	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet with the corres	ponajones	
eriod for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE	3 MONTH	H(S) FROM	
eriod for Reply	T TO EXPIRE	(CL MONT	HS from the
eriod for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a).	to accept, however, may a reply be timely file	d after SIX (6) MON	
THE MAILING THE evaluable under the provisions of 57	-isimum of thirty (30) days Will	De Consider of this com	munication.
A SHORTEINE DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). Extensions of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply the period for reply will, by statute, can	nin the statutory (IIIII IIIX (6) MONTHS from the mappy and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mappy ABANDONED (35 to 1)	J.S.C. § 133).	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS STATES of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply with the hope of the maximum statutory period will at 18 NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will, by statute, caused the state of the s	use the application to become timely filed, may a of this communication, even if timely filed, may	reduce any	
- Failure to reply within the set or extended a three months after the mailing date.	60,000		
earned petent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(5).	3/12/02		
- Failure to reply within the set of the first later than three months after the thre	- final		
1) Responsive to communication is in 2b) This action is FINAL . 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward in accordance with the practice under the condition of	s action is non-final.	nsecution as to	, the merits is
2a) This action is FINAL .	nce except for formal matters, property of 1935 C.D. 11; 4	53 O.G. 213.	
31 Since this application is in condition to	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E.		r
Disposition of Claims	50	ic/are withdray	vn from consideration.
Since this application of closed in accordance with the practice under a closed in a c		ie/are allo	wed.
	'		1
6) Claim(s)		no and	or election requirement.
5) Claim(s)	are subject to	restriction	
o) Claims			
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on	niner.	1 bv	the Examiner.
The specification is objected to by the Example 1	is large a) accepted or b)	objected to by	1 05/a)
9) The specification is objected to 3, 10) The drawing(s) filed on		nce. See 37 CFI	capproved by the Examiner.
10) The distribution 10 in the distribution 1	is: a) ap	proved b) L di	Sapprovo
The proposed drawing correction filed on	the reply to this Office action.		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required to by	d in reply to the		
If approved, corrected drawings and 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.		,
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for the control of the contro	suring priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or	(1).
Priority under 39 0.0.00 Priority under 30 0.0	foreign phone,		
a) All b) Some* c) None of:	have been received.		
a) All b) Some* c) None or: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu	iments have been received in Apr	olication No	
		eceived in this	National Stage
2. Certified copies of the certified copies of the	ne priority documents the 17.2(a))	aceived.	
application from the internal	ne priority documents have been to ational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) or a list of the certified copies not i	C & 119(e).	
*See the attached detailed Office detailed	or domestic priority under 35 0.5	, o	
*See the attached detailed Office action for the interest of the strain of the foreign language a). The translation of the foreign language is made of a claim.	ge provisional application has been	C. §§ 120 an	id/or 121.
a) The translation of the foleign language	for domestic phoney and		
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim of a claim of the foreign language. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim	C Summary (Paper No(s	1
1 1	4) Interview Surface of Informal Page 1	tent Application (PTC	⊦ 152)
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-002)) Orban		
1) Notice of References Cited (1190) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	(s)		Part of Paper No. 18
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (1.15			Part of Paper 140.

Application/Control Number: 09/184,186

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. Claims 1-7, 10-32 & 48 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-10, 13-18, 21-25, 30-34, 38-41 & 45-46 of copending Application No. 09/346,375. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made by the having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
 - 3. Claims 1-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burkart et al. (CN Pat. 2,073,092) in view of Gofuku et al. (US Pat. 5,269,868).

Burkart et al. discloses a method and apparatus for releasing the adhesive joints of glazing screens, that is, releasing a windshield from an automobile frame. The adhesive joint includes a

Application/Control Number: 09/184,186 Page 3

Art Unit: 1725

heatable separating member which in one embodiment is one of two adhesive beads. Upon heating by electrical energy or radiation (high frequency, microwave or infrared), the heatable separating member is destroyed at a temperature at which the other adhesive bead is not damaged. Burkart et al. does not disclose a single adhesive bead.

Gofuku et al. discloses the separation of adhesive bonded substrates. Separation entails irradiation of the adhesive using an energy beam which is transmitted through one of the substrates and is absorbed into the adhesive. In particular, the separating glass substrates are liquid crystal devices but could be applied to other substrates. The energy beam is an ultraviolet laser, but other lasers and other energy beams may be used.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply the Burkart et al. separation to one adhesive bead, as taught by Gofuku et al. because it is merely a variation on a substrate adhesive structure. Additionally, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use Gofuku et al. for vehicle windows because it is not limited to substrates which are attached by a Burkart et al. type adhesive, that is, a heatable separating member, but rather applicable to substrates which are attached by a single homogeneous adhesive bead. These are used almost exclusively in the automobile industry (applicant's specification). The teaching by Gofuku that his method is applicable to bonded substrates other than liquid crystal displays and to many adhesives used by Burkart et al. to bond vehicle windows, would have provided one of ordinary skill in the art with a reasonable expectation of success in applying the Gofuku process to vehicle windows.

Art Unit: 1725

Response to Appeal Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments are moot in view of the new rejection.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Alexandra Elve whose telephone number is (703) 308-0092. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday from 6:30 AM to 3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn, can be reached on (703) 308-3318. The fax number for non-after finals is 703-872-9310 and for after finals is 703-872-9311.

Any inquiry of general nature to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

May 19, 2002.

M. ALEXANDRA ELVE PRIMARY EXAMINER